

# Evidence Review Conducted for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Safety Program for Improving Surgical Care and Recovery: Focus on Anesthesiology for Hip Fracture Surgery

Ellen M. Soffin, MD, PhD,\*† Melinda M. Gibbons, MD, MSHS,‡ Elizabeth C. Wick, MD,§  
 Stephen L. Kates, MD,|| Maxime Cannesson, MD, PhD,¶  
 Michael J. Scott, MBChB, FRCP, FRCA, FFICM,#\*\* Michael C. Grant, MD,††  
 Samantha S. Ko,†† and Christopher L. Wu, MD\*†

Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols represent patient-centered, evidence-based, multidisciplinary care of the surgical patient. Although these patterns have been validated in numerous surgical specialties, ERAS has not been widely described for patients undergoing hip fracture (HFx) repair. As part of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Safety Program for Improving Surgical Care and Recovery, we have conducted a full evidence review of interventions that form the basis of the anesthesia components of the ERAS HFx pathway. A literature search was performed for each protocol component, and the highest levels of evidence available were selected for review. Anesthesiology components of care were identified and evaluated across the perioperative continuum. For the preoperative phase, the use of regional analgesia and nonopioid multimodal analgesic agents is suggested. For the intraoperative phase, a standardized anesthetic with postoperative nausea and vomiting prophylaxis is suggested. For the postoperative phase, a multimodal (primarily nonopioid) analgesic regimen is suggested. A summary of the best available evidence and recommendations for inclusion in ERAS protocols for HFx repair are provided. (Anesth Analg XXX;XXX:00–00)

Population trends predict that the annual number of hip fractures (HFxs) could reach 7.3–21.3 million worldwide by 2050.<sup>1</sup> HFx is associated with advancing age and comorbidity burden, making the perioperative care of these patients particularly challenging. As has been demonstrated in other surgical cohorts, patients with HFx may benefit from care standardization to optimize outcomes and minimize the length of hospital stay and associated cost of care.<sup>2</sup> Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) is a leading

example of pathway-based care, which minimizes variation in care, maximizes multidisciplinary evidence-based practice, and is associated with improved outcomes and fewer complications after surgery.<sup>2</sup>

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, together with the American College of Surgeons and the Johns Hopkins Medicine Armstrong Institute for Patient Safety and Quality at Johns Hopkins University, created the Safety Program for Improving Surgical Care and Recovery (ISCR). The program relies on evidence-based pathways of care to improve outcomes and enhance perioperative care and patient safety. Orthopedic surgery service lines will include elective total hip and knee arthroplasty and HFx repair. The ISCR will be implemented in >750 hospitals nationwide over the next 5 years.

We have evaluated the evidence for the anesthetic components to be included in the HFx repair pathway. The surgical components will be reviewed and reported separately. The goals of this evidence review are to assess the current best evidence for anesthetic interventions leading to improved outcomes after HFx repair and determine the anesthetic elements of the HFx repair protocol.

## METHODS

A review protocol was developed with input from participants (anesthesiologists and surgeons listed as the authors in this article). Two researchers (E.M.S., C.L.W.) reviewed current HFx fast-track pathways from several sources (eg, Kaiser Permanente, Virginia Commonwealth University, University of Rochester, clinical guideline for HFx from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence [United Kingdom]), extracted data on items included in major HFx pathways, undertook a scoping literature review, and

From the \*Department of Anesthesiology, The Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, New York; †Department of Anesthesiology, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, New York; ‡Department of Surgery, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California; §Armstrong Institute for Patient Safety and Quality, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland; ||Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond, Virginia; ¶Department of Anesthesiology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California; #Department of Anesthesiology, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond, Virginia; \*\*Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and ††Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland.

Accepted for publication September 19, 2018.

Funding: This project was funded under contract number HHSP2332015000201 from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, US Department of Health and Human Services.

Conflicts of Interest: See Disclosures at the end of the article.

The opinions expressed in this document are those of the authors and do not reflect the official position of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality or the US Department of Health and Human Services.

Reprints will not be available from the authors.

Address correspondence to Ellen M. Soffin, MD, PhD, Department of Anesthesiology, The Hospital for Special Surgery, 535 E 70th St, New York, NY 10021. Address e-mail to soffine@hss.edu.

Copyright © 2018 International Anesthesia Research Society  
 DOI: 10.1213/ANE.0000000000003925

presented each item to the group (anesthesiologists and surgeons listed as the authors in this article) for consideration. Items were included for consideration if majority consensus (>50%) from the group was reached. The group sought expert feedback to identify individual components in each perioperative phase of care (Table 1).

This evidence review should not be considered as a systematic review (SR) but an attempt to incorporate the latest evidence. The protocol was developed based on guidelines from several professional associations/societies (Table 2). In addition, literature reviews for each individual protocol component were performed in PubMed for English-language articles published before December 2016. Each search initially targeted HFx; if no HFx literature was identified, then the search was broadened to surgical procedures in general. Given the volume of literature in this field, a hierarchical method of inclusion was used based on study design. If we identified a well-designed SR/meta-analysis (MA), then the study was included. We also included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or observational studies published after the SR/MA. Results are described narratively.

**Table 1. Improving Surgical Care and Recovery Hip Fracture Protocol Components: Anesthesia**

Protocol Components	
Immediate preoperative	
Preoperative regional analgesia	
Multimodal preanesthesia medication	
Intraoperative	
Standard intraoperative anesthesia pathway	
Postoperative nausea/vomiting prophylaxis	
Glycemic control	
Postoperative	
Standard postoperative multimodal analgesic regimen	

**Table 2. Summary of AHRQ Safety Program for Improving Surgical Care and Recovery Hip Fracture Protocol Components, Associated Outcomes, and Support From the Literature and/or Guidelines: Anesthesia**

Intervention	Outcome(s)	Evidence	Guidelines <sup>a</sup>
Immediate preoperative			
Preoperative regional analgesia	↓ Pain, ↓ opioids, ↓ cardiac and pulmonary morbidity	b	95
Multimodal preanesthesia medication	↓ Pain, ↓ PONV, ↓ opioid use	b	93
Intraoperative			
Standard intraoperative anesthesia pathway	↓ Pain, ↓ PONV, ↓ opioid use	b	93
Multimodal PONV prophylaxis	↓ PONV	b	95
Glycemic control	↓ SSI	b	36
Postoperative			
Standard postoperative multimodal analgesic regimen	↓ Pain, ↓ PONV, ↓ opioid use	b	95

Abbreviations: AHRQ, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; PONV, postoperative nausea and vomiting; SSI, surgical site infection.  
<sup>a</sup>Designates a component where all guidelines supported a given practice.  
<sup>b</sup>Designates a component where all evidence supported a given practice.

## RESULTS

### Preoperative

#### Use of Regional Anesthesia/Analgesia Before Surgery.

*Rationale.* Use of regional analgesic (variations of femoral nerve blocks) techniques before surgery may reduce pain in patients with HFxs.<sup>3-7</sup>

*Evidence.* Four RCTs and 1 SR suggest that administering femoral nerve/fascia iliaca blocks before surgery reduces pain, decreases opioid use and opioid-related side effects, and minimizes cardiac and pulmonary morbidity.<sup>3-7</sup> There is some uncertainty in the available literature (relatively small number of subjects studied), and larger scale RCTs are needed.

*Summary.* When available, use of regional analgesic (femoral nerve/fascia iliaca blocks) techniques before surgery in patients with HFxs is recommended.

### Immediate Preoperative

#### Carbohydrate Loading and Duration of Fasting Before Surgery.

*Rationale.* The preoperative administration of oral carbohydrates may be associated with attenuation of the perioperative catabolic state, reduction in postoperative insulin resistance, and a decrease in protein breakdown. Although the American Society of Anesthesiologists allows clear liquids 2 hours and a light meal 6 hours before induction of anesthesia in healthy patients who are undergoing elective procedures,<sup>8</sup> HFx surgeries are usually not considered elective.

*Evidence.* There are 2 observational studies of fasting/gastric emptying after a carbohydrate-rich drink in elderly patients with acute HFx.<sup>9,10</sup> A study in elderly women noted no evidence of delayed gastric emptying after a 400-mL 12.6% carbohydrate-rich drink.<sup>9</sup> The second study examined 262 elderly patients with HFxs where preoperative fasting was restricted to 6 hours for solids and 2 hours for fluids, and surgery was performed in ≤24 hours of admission and no cases of pulmonary aspiration were noted.<sup>10</sup>

*Summary.* Although limited data suggest that gastric emptying time is not delayed in the presence of HFx, HFx surgeries are usually not considered elective, and the most conservative approach is to consider these patients as a “full stomach” who may be at higher risk for pulmonary aspiration compared to those undergoing elective surgery. The decision to use carbohydrate loading and minimize duration of fasting before surgery in a nonelective case should be made by the anesthesiologist in consultation with other perioperative health care providers and should be tailored to individual patient requirements.

#### Multimodal Preanesthetic Medication.

*Rationale.* A standardized group of preanesthetic medications may be administered as part of a multimodal approach to analgesia and postoperative nausea/vomiting (PONV) prophylaxis. A multimodal approach to control perioperative pain focuses on the concurrent utilization of multiple nonopioid analgesics. Goals are to produce additive/synergistic

analgesia while minimizing opioid use/opioid-related side effects in patients with HFxs.<sup>11</sup> Control of PONV is important to facilitate patient oral intake/recovery.

#### Acetaminophen

**Evidence.** There are no studies specifically examining the preoperative acetaminophen administration in patients undergoing HFx surgery. There is 1 MA in patients undergoing non-HFx surgery that examines the administration of preoperative acetaminophen, which is associated with a reduction in postoperative pain scores, opioid consumption, and PONV.<sup>12</sup>

**Summary.** Data from non-HFx surgery indicate that preoperative acetaminophen is associated with a reduction in postoperative pain scores, opioid consumption, and PONV. The acetaminophen dose should be decreased or withheld in patients with concomitant liver disease. The maximum dose is 15 mg/kg per dose up to a maximum of 1 g. There are insufficient data to determine whether 1 route of administration (intravenous [IV] versus oral) is superior.

#### Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Agents

**Evidence.** There are no studies specifically examining the use of perioperative nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents (NSAIDs) for patients with HFxs, which may be due in part to the concern of delaying bone healing and nonunion. There are 3 observational studies<sup>13–15</sup> and 3 SRs<sup>16–18</sup> examining NSAIDs on bone healing after fractures.

The wide diversity and heterogeneity of available data with conflicting results<sup>14</sup> preclude any definitive conclusions on NSAIDs and bone healing after fracture. There are patient-related characteristics that may influence the development of fracture-healing complications.<sup>14</sup> Several SRs on the topic have found no increased risk of nonunion with NSAID exposure when only the highest quality studies were assessed and with short duration (<1 week) of NSAID use.<sup>16,17</sup> Nonetheless, the clinician may want to avoid NSAIDs after HFx in high-risk patients.<sup>18</sup>

**Summary.** The use of NSAIDs (including cyclo-oxygenase [COX]-2 inhibitors) should be tailored to individual patient requirements and should be avoided in high-risk (renal, bleeding comorbidities) patients. Limited data preclude any definitive conclusions on the use of NSAIDs (including COX-2 inhibitors) on bone healing in fractures. Traditional NSAIDs are associated with platelet dysfunction and gastrointestinal irritation/bleeding, and the dosage of NSAIDs should be decreased or withheld in patients with these comorbidities. If used, the dosage of NSAIDs should also be decreased in elderly patients.

#### Gabapentanoids

**Evidence.** There are no studies examining perioperative gabapentanoids in patients with HFxs. There are multiple MAs/SRs in patients without HFxs, suggesting that a single dose of preoperative gabapentin may be associated with decreased postoperative pain and opioid consumption. However, more recent studies suggest that the analgesic effects of gabapentin may have been overestimated and the potential harms have not been fully explored.<sup>19,20</sup>

**Summary.** Limited data preclude any definitive conclusions on the routine use of gabapentanoids in patients with HFxs. The use of gabapentanoids should be tailored to individual patient requirements and avoided in high-risk patients (those at risk for sedation and respiratory depression, the elderly, or patients with obstructive sleep apnea).

**PONV Prophylaxis. Rationale.** Control of PONV is important to facilitate patient oral intake and recovery.

**Evidence.** There are no studies specifically examining different antiemetic agents in patients with HFxs. A recent evidence-based guideline for the prevention of PONV has been published.<sup>21</sup> The general approach for the prevention of PONV is to formally perform a risk assessment for PONV, decrease baseline risk factors if possible, and administer PONV prophylaxis using appropriate interventions based on the PONV risk assessment.<sup>21</sup>

**Summary.** A multimodal regimen for antiemetic prophylaxis is recommended for the prevention of PONV. Certain anesthetic techniques (regional anesthesia/propofol-based total IV anesthesia) may be associated with a lower incidence of PONV. Choices of specific antiemetic agents must be made on an individual basis, balancing risks and benefits. Caution should be exercised in using anticholinergic and antihistamine agents in a largely geriatric population.

#### Intraoperative

**Standardized Evidence-Based Intraoperative Anesthetic Pathway. Rationale.** A standardized evidence-based perioperative anesthetic pathway is essential for every surgical ERAS protocol. Although not every ERAS pathway will be alike due in part to differences based on local resources/expertise, every ERAS pathway should contain the core components of fluid management, multimodal analgesia with minimization of opioid use, and prevention of PONV. The intraoperative anesthetic should be tailored to facilitate a rapid awakening after completion of the surgical procedure. Several anesthetic regimens can be used to achieve these goals.

**Regional Anesthesia (Neuraxial and Peripheral Nerve Blocks). Rationale.** The use of regional anesthetic/analgesic techniques (epidural or spinal anesthesia in most cases) is part of many ERAS pathways. Local anesthetic-based techniques are associated with superior patient recovery and analgesia and decreasing opioid consumption and opioid-related side effects.

**Evidence.** There are 4 MAs/SRs<sup>22–25</sup> and multiple observational studies comparing regional to general anesthesia for patients with HFxs. Overall, whether the use of regional (versus general) anesthesia actually decreases perioperative mortality is uncertain,<sup>22,26,27</sup> but a nonrandomized study found improved survival and fewer pulmonary complications with neuraxial anesthesia in patients with intertrochanteric (but not femoral neck) fractures.<sup>28</sup> In addition, an SR of 20 retrospective observational and 3 prospective randomized controlled studies found a significant decrease in in-hospital mortality (odds ratio, 0.85; 95% confidence

interval, 0.76–0.95;  $P = .004$ ) and length of hospital stay with neuraxial anesthesia, but there was no difference in the 30-day mortality.<sup>25</sup> A recent, large database analysis of 107,317 patients after HFx surgery found that survival independently improved as hospital-level neuraxial use increased, with most of the survival benefit realized with an increase in hospital-level neuraxial use >20%–25%.<sup>27</sup>

Some large-scale observational data indicate that regional anesthesia is associated with lower 30-day all-cause and surgical site infection, a decrease in deep venous thrombosis, and a shorter length of stay.<sup>22,26,29</sup> Large-scale RCTs examining regional to general anesthesia for HFx are ongoing. The concurrent use of anticoagulants and neuraxial blocks/catheters should be approached with caution, and guidelines for such use have been published.<sup>30</sup>

**Summary.** Although the choice of anesthesia (general or regional) should be made by the patient in consultation with the anesthesiologist and other perioperative health care providers, the use of neuraxial anesthesia for HFx surgery is preferred.

**Intrathecal Morphine for Postoperative Analgesia. Rationale.** Intrathecal hydrophilic opioids (morphine) may provide prolonged postoperative analgesia.<sup>31–33</sup>

**Evidence.** There is 1 RCT investigating the use of intrathecal morphine (0.2 mg) in patients with HFxs. In this study, intrathecal morphine provided prolonged postoperative analgesia.<sup>31</sup> Two MAs in non-HFx suggest that intrathecal morphine (0.05–0.2 mg) decreases pain scores and opioid use.<sup>32,33</sup> It is not clear if intrathecal morphine provides superior analgesia or outcomes compared to other regional anesthesia techniques. Several side effects from intrathecal opioids may preclude use in the elderly patient with HFx, including PONV, urinary retention, and pruritus.<sup>32</sup> Respiratory depression remains a concern, and higher doses of intrathecal morphine (>0.3 mg) are generally associated with more episodes of respiratory depression.<sup>34</sup>

**Summary.** When other neuraxial regional analgesic techniques are not used, intrathecal morphine may be a useful technique for providing postoperative analgesia in patients with HFxs and may be particularly useful when other regional analgesic techniques are not available or cannot be used; however, caution is warranted in the elderly and frail populations due to concerns with oversedation.<sup>35</sup> Lower doses of intrathecal opioids ( $\leq 150$   $\mu$ g morphine) carry less risk of respiratory depression, but due to the unpredictability, all patients should have the same level of monitoring. Guidelines for the prevention, detection, and management of respiratory depression associated with neuraxial opioid administration have been published.<sup>35</sup>

**Glycemic Control. Rationale.** Perioperative control of glucose has been hypothesized to contribute to a reduction in surgical site infections.<sup>36</sup>

**Evidence.** There are no studies specifically examining perioperative glucose control and outcomes in patients with HFxs.

The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) recently released a guideline for the prevention of surgical site infection (SSI), which recommended perioperative blood glucose target levels <200 mg/dL in patients with and without diabetes.<sup>36</sup> It should be noted that although the CDC recommended implementation of “perioperative glycemic control and use of blood glucose target levels <200 mg/dL in diabetic and nondiabetic patients and rated the evidence as category IA (strong recommendation), this recommendation was based on data from nonorthopedic patients and the CDC did not identify enough data to determine the optimal timing, duration, or delivery method of perioperative glycemic control for the prevention of SSI.”<sup>36</sup> In addition, the CDC recommends maintaining perioperative normothermia (category IA: strong recommendation) as high-quality evidence, suggesting a benefit of patient warming over no warming.<sup>36</sup>

**Summary.** Perioperative glycemic control should be considered targeted with blood glucose levels <200 mg/dL in patients with and without diabetes.

**Ventilation and Oxygenation. Rationale.** Optimization of perioperative oxygenation may reduce surgical site infections.<sup>37–40</sup> Using an intraoperative protective lung ventilation strategy may reduce pulmonary complications.<sup>37–40</sup>

**Evidence.** There are no studies specifically examining the effect of an intraoperative protective ventilation strategy and pulmonary outcomes or the effect of oxygenation in patients with HFxs.

Multiple MAs, including orthopedic and nonorthopedic procedures, have provided mixed results on whether perioperative supplemental (fraction inspired oxygen, >0.8) oxygen therapy will result in a decrease in SSIs. The potential benefits of hyperoxia need to be balanced against its potential harms. The optimal level of oxygenation for patients with HFxs is uncertain.

With regard to intraoperative protective ventilation strategies, the data (in patients without HFxs) overall suggest that an intraoperative protective ventilation strategy of lower tidal volumes may result in improved clinical outcomes (respiratory failure and pulmonary infection) and reduced length of hospital stay.<sup>37–40</sup>

**Summary.** If positive-pressure ventilation will be used for intraoperative general anesthesia, then the use of a protective ventilation strategy (lower tidal volume of 6–8 mL/kg) in conjunction with optimal positive end-expiratory pressure and intermittent recruitment maneuvers may be used. Routine perioperative hyperoxia for patients undergoing HFx is not recommended.

**Postoperative Nausea/Vomiting. Rationale.** Control of PONV is an important anesthesiology component of any ERAS pathway because the presence of PONV will delay oral intake/patient recovery.<sup>21</sup>

**Evidence.** There are no studies examining PONV as a primary outcome in patients with HFxs. A comprehensive evidence-based guideline for the management of PONV has been published.<sup>21</sup> The recommended pharmacological

classes of antiemetics for PONV prophylaxis in adults include the 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor antagonists, corticosteroids (dexamethasone), butyrophenones, antihistamines, anticholinergics, and neurokinin-1 receptor antagonists.<sup>21</sup> In general, a multimodal approach using multiple classes of antiemetic agents for PONV prophylaxis is preferable to using a single drug alone. ERAS pathways often incorporate multimodal-preventive PONV strategies.<sup>21</sup>

**Summary.** Use of a multimodal antiemetic regimen for the prevention of PONV is recommended in patients with HFx. Certain anesthetic techniques (regional anesthesia/propofol-based total IV anesthesia) may be associated with a lower incidence of PONV. Choices of specific antiemetic agents must be made on an individual basis, balancing the risks and benefits. Caution should be exercised in using anticholinergic and antihistamine agents in a largely geriatric population to reduce the risk of delirium.

**Tranexamic Acid.** *Rationale.* Tranexamic acid (TXA) is an antifibrinolytic drug that inhibits fibrinolysis but blocks the conversion of plasminogen to plasmin, which breaks down fibrin in preformed blood clots.

*Evidence.* There are 3 RCTs,<sup>41–43</sup> 1 observational trial,<sup>44</sup> and 1 MA/SR<sup>45</sup> examining TXA in patients with HFx. Data suggest that the perioperative administration of TXA can significantly reduce the perioperative blood loss and requirement for blood transfusion.<sup>45</sup> The available studies are limited, and there are insufficient data to determine whether TXA in patients with HFxs will be associated with an increased incidence of thrombotic events.

**Summary.** Limited data preclude any definitive conclusions on the routine use of TXA in patients with HFxs. The use of TXA should be tailored to individual patient requirements and avoided in high-risk patients (renal dysfunction, hypercoagulable states, hypersensitivity to TXA, and coronary/vascular stent placement, thromboembolic disease, or cerebrovascular event within the previous 6 months).

#### IV Lidocaine

*Rationale.* Perioperative IV lidocaine bolus/infusions may provide analgesia via a nonopioid receptor mechanism and decrease perioperative opioid consumption.<sup>46–48</sup>

*Evidence.* There are no studies specifically examining IV lidocaine in patients with HFxs. However, there are several MAs examining perioperative IV lidocaine infusions in (primarily) nonorthopedic surgical procedures.<sup>46–48</sup> These studies suggest that lidocaine infusion may be associated with decreased postoperative pain and opioid consumption and earlier return of bowel function.<sup>46–48</sup> The benefits for the routine use of perioperative IV lidocaine for patients with HFxs are uncertain, but there may be instances where IV lidocaine may be considered, particularly when the use of other regional/local anesthetic-based techniques is not feasible.

**Summary.** The choice of whether to use IV lidocaine for HFx surgery should be made by the anesthesiologist in

consultation with other perioperative health care providers and should be tailored to individual patient requirements.

**Ketamine.** *Rationale.* The administration of perioperative IV ketamine bolus/infusions may provide analgesia via a nonopioid mechanism and decrease perioperative opioid consumption.<sup>49</sup>

*Evidence.* There are no studies specifically examining intraoperative ketamine in patients with HFxs. There is no consensus as to the precise dosing/timing of ketamine administration. A recently published large RCT in older adults after major surgery examined 2 doses of intraoperative ketamine (0.5 or 1 mg/kg) to placebo and found no difference in adverse events (cardiovascular, renal, infectious, gastrointestinal, and bleeding) or delirium, but there were more postoperative hallucinations and nightmares with increasing ketamine doses compared with placebo.<sup>49</sup> Doses of ketamine from a variety of studies suggest a range of an intraoperative bolus of 0.25–1 mg/kg followed by an infusion of 0.1–0.25 mg/kg/h.

**Summary.** Ketamine may be a useful intraoperative anesthetic/analgesic agent, especially in opioid-tolerant patients and as part of a strategy to minimize opioid administration. The choice of whether to use ketamine for HFx surgery should be made by the anesthesiologist in consultation with other perioperative health care providers and should be tailored to individual patient requirements.

#### Fluid Minimization and Goal-Directed Fluid Therapy.

*Rationale.* Optimizing perioperative fluid management is a key component in every ERAS pathway. Excessive perioperative fluid administration is associated with cardiac and renal dysfunction, ileus, and delayed recovery.<sup>50</sup>

*Evidence.* There are 2 RCTs specifically examining the goal-directed fluid therapy (GDFT) in patients with HFxs.<sup>51,52</sup> GDFT therapy in patients with HFxs does not result in a significant reduction in length of stay or postoperative complications.<sup>51</sup> Fewer patients responded to GDFT than anticipated.<sup>52</sup> An SR found no evidence that fluid optimization strategies improve outcomes for participants undergoing surgery for HFx.<sup>53</sup>

**Summary.** The value of GDFT for patients with HFxs is uncertain, and there is insufficient evidence for its routine use in these patients.

#### Postoperative

**Standardized Evidence-Based Postoperative Multimodal Analgesic Regimen.** *Rationale.* Control of postoperative pain is an important component of any ERAS HFx pathway. Superior pain control facilitates patient mobility and recovery. A multimodal analgesic approach based on nonopioid analgesic agents and techniques are used to minimize the use and side effects of opioids.

**Acetaminophen.** *Rationale.* Acetaminophen may be used with other nonopioid analgesics to produce additive/

synergistic analgesia while minimizing opioid use and opioid-related side effects.

**Evidence.** There are 2 observational studies examining perioperative acetaminophen administration in patients with HFxs.<sup>54,55</sup> Scheduled acetaminophen as part of a standardized pain management protocol for these patients is associated with shorter length of hospital stay, decreased pain scores and opioid use, fewer missed physical therapy sessions, higher functional performance on discharge, and higher rate of discharge to home.<sup>54,55</sup>

The 3 MAs<sup>56–58</sup> examining acetaminophen for the treatment of postoperative pain in orthopedic and nonorthopedic patients suggest that postoperative acetaminophen provides superior analgesia (versus placebo) and decreases opioid consumption. When possible, acetaminophen should be concurrently administered with an NSAIDs (both on a scheduled basis) because administration of both agents produces greater analgesic effects than either agent administered alone.<sup>59</sup> Doses >1 g are not associated with greater reduction in pain outcomes.<sup>60</sup> Caveats to the use of NSAIDs in patients with HFxs are addressed previously and must be considered in the overall context of patient care and surgical goals.

**Summary.** Acetaminophen should be administered on a scheduled basis. Typical doses of acetaminophen for a normal-sized adult are between 3 and 4 g maximum per day. The optimal dosage of acetaminophen after hospital discharge is uncertain, although it may be appropriate to decrease the maximum dose of acetaminophen to 3 g daily.

**Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Agents.** *Rationale.* NSAIDs may be used with other nonopioid analgesics to produce additive/synergistic analgesia while minimizing opioid use and opioid-related side effects.

**Evidence.** There are no studies specifically examining the use of NSAIDs for perioperative analgesia for patients with HFxs. However, 3 MAs/SRs of perioperative NSAIDs (including COX-2 inhibitors) in patients without HFx suggest that NSAIDs after orthopedic/nonorthopedic procedures result in a significant reduction in pain scores/opioid use.<sup>61–63</sup>

**Summary.** Perioperative health care providers may consider the short-term use of NSAIDs after HFx. Limited data preclude any definitive conclusions on the use of NSAIDs on bone healing in fractures. The use of NSAIDs should be tailored to individual patient requirements and avoided in high-risk patients. Caveats to using NSAIDs and fracture healing are considered earlier. NSAIDs are important as part of multimodal analgesic strategies, but their use in acute surgery and the elderly may be more limited due to the increased incidence of dehydration, presence of comorbidities, and reduced renal reserve in this age group.

**Dextromethorphan.** *Rationale.* Dextromethorphan is commonly used as an antitussive agent. At doses above those used for an antitussive effect, dextromethorphan is an N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor, which plays a critical role

in the development of chronic pain and possibly opioid tolerance.<sup>64,65</sup>

**Evidence.** There are no studies specifically examining dextromethorphan in patients with HFxs. There are 2 SRs/MAs<sup>64,65</sup> of dextromethorphan for postoperative pain in orthopedic/nonorthopedic surgical patients. Perioperative dextromethorphan reduces the postoperative opioid consumption and pain scores after surgery.<sup>64</sup> The optimal dosing of dextromethorphan is uncertain, although typical doses used range from 30 to 60 mg per os preoperatively and twice or thrice a day postoperatively.<sup>61</sup> Dextromethorphan may be associated with nausea, vomiting, dizziness, lightheadedness, and sedation.<sup>65</sup>

**Summary.** Dextromethorphan may provide additional nonopioid analgesia. The choice of whether to use dextromethorphan for HFx surgery should be made by the anesthesiologist in consultation with other perioperative health care providers and should be tailored to individual patient requirements.

**Gabapentanoids.** *Rationale.* Gabapentanoids are anti-convulsants that have been used for the treatment of both acute and chronic pain and may be valuable nonopioid analgesic adjuvants.<sup>19,20</sup>

**Evidence.** There are no studies examining the use of perioperative gabapentanoids in patients with HFxs.

**Summary.** Limited data preclude any definitive conclusions on the use of gabapentanoids for postoperative in patients with HFxs. The use of gabapentanoids should be tailored to individual patient requirements and avoided in high-risk patients (at risk for sedation and respiratory depression).

**Local Anesthetics Wound Infiltration and Infusions (Subcutaneous).** *Rationale.* Local anesthetics may be delivered as single-administration infiltration or continuous wound infusions to provide nonopioid analgesia at the incision site.

**Evidence.** There are no studies examining the use of a continuous infusion of subcutaneous local anesthetics for patients with HFxs. The 1 RCT<sup>66</sup> investigating a local anesthetic wound infiltration in patients with HFxs showed no significant reduction in pain or opioid consumption associated with the use of local anesthetic wound infiltration. There are 3 SRs of the use of continuous wound infusions for postoperative analgesia in patients without HFx.<sup>67–69</sup> Taken together, the SRs suggest that the analgesic efficacy of the technique is uncertain due to multiple methodological issues in the available (underlying) studies.

**Summary.** Local anesthetics administered via single-administration infiltration or continuous wound infusions are not recommended for routine use in patients with HFxs.

**Tramadol.** *Rationale.* Tramadol is a weak  $\mu$ -opioid receptor agonist and inhibitor of serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake. Tramadol may be used with other nonopioid

agents to produce additive/synergistic analgesia while minimizing opioid use and opioid-related side effects.<sup>70-72</sup>

**Evidence.** There were no studies specifically examining oral tramadol in patients with HFx. There are 3 MAs of tramadol for the treatment of postoperative pain in orthopedic/non-orthopedic surgical patients.<sup>70-72</sup> These studies suggest that tramadol has a weak-moderate analgesic effect, which is significantly improved when combined with acetaminophen. Tramadol should not be used (or used cautiously) in patients already taking selective serotonin receptor inhibitors/serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors/monoamine oxidase inhibitors, with renal insufficiency, or with a history of seizures.

**Summary.** Although the analgesic efficacy of tramadol for patients with HFxs is uncertain, tramadol has less  $\mu$ -receptor (opioid) activity than morphine. Tramadol's weak-moderate analgesic effect is significantly improved when combined with acetaminophen.

**Postoperative Peripheral Nerve Blocks. Rationale.** The use of peripheral nerve blocks (PNBs) for postoperative analgesia may reduce pain from HFx surgery, facilitate patient recovery, and minimize opioid requirements and related side effects.

**Evidence.** There are 3 MAs/SRs,<sup>73-75</sup> 6 RCTs,<sup>76-81</sup> and 2 observational trials<sup>82,83</sup> examining the use of PNBs for postoperative analgesia in patients with HFxs. Overall, moderate evidence suggests that PNBs are effective for decreasing postoperative pain, decreasing opioid consumption, and possibly reducing delirium.<sup>73-75</sup> However, not all PNBs are equally effective in improving outcomes after HFx, although there are insufficient data to definitively determine the most optimal PNB for HFx.<sup>73-75</sup>

**Summary.** Use of PNBs is recommended for postoperative analgesia in patients with HFxs when local resources and expertise are available. The concurrent use of anticoagulants and the safety of placing PNBs and catheters should be considered on an individual basis. Guidelines for such use have been published elsewhere.<sup>30</sup>

**Opioids.** Almost every ERAS pathway will include strategies to limit opioid use. Opioid monotherapy is associated with significant side effects that may delay patient recovery. Nonetheless, opioids still have a role in ERAS pathways. Although it is not clear what percentage of total hip arthroplasty patients can be done "opioid-free," ERAS pathways typically strive to minimize opioid utilization, and opioids feature less prominently and are typically administered as a "rescue" (pro re nata) when all other nonopioid analgesic agents have failed to adequately control pain. One caveat for opioid use in ERAS pathways relates to the opioid-tolerant patient. These patients will likely require continuation of their baseline opioids to prevent symptoms of opioid withdrawal. Opioids generally should not be withheld in these patients.

## DISCUSSION

ERAS programs are rapidly gaining in popularity across the United States in major part because ERAS protocols have

been associated with superior outcomes and shorter length of hospital stay. Successes linking ERAS and improved outcomes after orthopedic surgery have been described, particularly for elective joint replacement.<sup>84-89</sup> However, the application of ERAS principles to repair of HFx has been more restricted. In a retrospective study, an ERAS protocol for HFx repair was associated with significant reduction in postoperative complications but had no effect on length of stay or 30-day mortality.<sup>90</sup> Two additional studies using before-and-after trial designs<sup>91,92</sup> demonstrated that ERAS produced significant reductions in post-HFx repair complications (including confusion, pneumonia, and urinary tract infection), shorter length of hospital stay, higher rates of home discharge,<sup>92</sup> and lower mortality in community-dwelling patients.<sup>91</sup> It should be noted that these pathways contained many of the same elements (use of regional anesthesia, fluid management, multimodal analgesia) listed in our pathway.

Our recommendations for the anesthetic components of an ERAS pathway for HFx are based on the best available evidence of benefit. However, it should be noted that not all of the evidence is specific HFx, and some had to be extrapolated from other surgical procedures. Evidence that is specific to surgery for HFx is included where feasible and derived from a preponderance of evidence in other surgeries where lacking.<sup>93,94</sup> Many of our recommendations (preoperative regional analgesia and postoperative multimodal analgesia) are similar to those advocated by the guidelines for management of HFxs published by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (Table 2).

A comprehensive anesthetic approach to the preoperative phase should include regional analgesia. Peripheral nerves blocks reduce opioid administration, improve postoperative pain scores, and reduce cardiopulmonary comorbidity.<sup>3-6</sup> In addition, providers should consider the administration of a combination of preoperative oral acetaminophen and NSAIDs,<sup>59</sup> taking into account patient- and surgery-specific risk factors. Despite the proposed controversy regarding the impact of NSAIDs on adequate bone healing, the results of this review do not suggest such an association, and this may be less important depending on the type of surgical intervention. Finally, patients in a non-HFx ERAS pathway generally benefit from oral carbohydrate administration up to 2 hours before the start of surgery to prevent protein catabolism in elective surgery. However, in contrast to elective surgery, the urgent or emergent nature of HFx repair may prevent the uniform application of this process measure. Further high-quality studies in this area are necessary to provide additional evidence regarding the safety and relative benefits in the population with HFxs.

During the intraoperative phase, it remains unclear whether general or regional anesthesia contributes to better outcomes. The decision to use one over the other should incorporate local expertise and patient comorbidity. Large observational trials suggest that regional/neuraxial anesthesia may be associated with improved survival, fewer pulmonary complications, reduction in surgical site infections, and shorter lengths of stay.<sup>22-29</sup> However, prospective trials on this topic are notably lacking. When general anesthesia is selected, patients benefit from the application of

a “lung-protective” mechanical ventilation strategy, where low tidal volumes (6–8 mL/kg predicted body weight) should be emphasized. Any concerted anesthesia protocol should promote the routine use of antiemetics as directed by previously established PONV guidelines.<sup>21</sup> The use of several agents targeting multiple antiemetic pathways is recommended. Routine intraoperative glucose management is encouraged in accordance with the CDC guidelines.<sup>36</sup> No formal recommendation can be made regarding the optimal strategy for fluid administration in Hfx surgery due to the conflicting nature of results in this area of research.

Similar to ERAS anesthetic guidelines for other procedures, the primary emphasis in Hfx surgery during the postoperative phase is effective multimodal analgesia.<sup>11</sup> There is sufficient evidence to support the scheduled administration of acetaminophen—both to reduce pain scores and minimize reliance on opioid-based analgesia.<sup>12,54–58,60</sup> A similar recommendation is not supported, however, for the routine use of dextromethorphan or gabapentinoid medications.<sup>64,65</sup> The perioperative health care provider may consider the short-term use of NSAIDs after a fracture with the caveat that it remains unclear how the use of NSAIDs may impact bone healing in fractures. Where feasible, PNBs are recommended for the treatment of postoperative pain in Hfx surgery.<sup>73–83</sup> Several high-quality studies support this conclusion provided that local expertise can facilitate these efforts. However, local wound infiltration is not encouraged because intertrial variability limits the quality of the evidence and concomitant analgesia may limit the effectiveness of this strategy.<sup>66–69</sup>

We have described the evidence associated with specific process measures associated with traditional ERAS pathways. However, individual providers and hospitals will need to utilize and adapt local resources and expertise to successfully implement these recommendations. When developing the local pathway, priority should be given to developing consensus and identifying components that are realistic and meaningful for the patient and provider populations. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Safety Program for ISCR protocol components span all perioperative phases of care and will require interdisciplinary collaboration among surgeons, anesthesiology providers, nurses, hospital leadership, and patients. ■

## DISCLOSURES

**Name:** Ellen M. Soffin, MD, PhD.

**Contribution:** This author helped with conception and design, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

**Conflicts of Interest:** None.

**Name:** Melinda M. Gibbons, MD, MSHS.

**Contribution:** This author helped with conception and design, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

**Conflicts of Interest:** M. M. Gibbons receives a consultant fee through a contract with the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) (HHSP233201500020I).

**Name:** Elizabeth C. Wick, MD.

**Contribution:** This author helped with conception and design, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

**Conflicts of Interest:** E. C. Wick receives salary support through a contract with the AHRQ (HHSP233201500020I).

**Name:** Stephen L. Kates, MD.

**Contribution:** This author helped analyze and interpret the data, draft the manuscript, and critically revise the manuscript for important intellectual content.

**Conflicts of Interest:** None.

**Name:** Maxime Cannesson, MD, PhD.

**Contribution:** This author helped analyze and interpret the data, draft the manuscript, and critically revise the manuscript for important intellectual content.

**Conflicts of Interest:** M. Cannesson is a consultant for Edwards Lifesciences, Masimo Corp, and Medtronic, and he is the founder of Sironis. He receives research support from Edwards Lifesciences, Masimo Corp, and the National Institutes of Health (R01 GM117622, R01 NR013912).

**Name:** Michael J. Scott, MBChB, FRCP, FRCA, FFICM.

**Contribution:** This author helped analyze and interpret the data, draft the manuscript, and critically revise the manuscript for important intellectual content.

**Conflicts of Interest:** M. J. Scott received lecture and travel expenses from Cheetah Medical, Deltex Medical, and Merck.

**Name:** Michael C. Grant, MD.

**Contribution:** This author helped analyze and interpret the data, draft the manuscript, and critically revise the manuscript for important intellectual content.

**Conflicts of Interest:** None.

**Name:** Samantha S. Ko.

**Contribution:** This author helped draft the manuscript and critically revise the manuscript for important intellectual content.

**Conflicts of Interest:** None.

**Name:** Christopher L. Wu, MD.

**Contribution:** This author helped with conception and design, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

**Conflicts of Interest:** C. L. Wu receives salary support through a contract with the AHRQ (HHSP233201500020I).

**This manuscript was handled by:** Tong J. Gan, MD.

## REFERENCES

- Leigheb F, Vanhaecht K, Sermeus W, et al. The effect of care pathways for hip fractures: a systematic overview of secondary studies. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol.* 2013;23:737–745.
- Neuman MD, Archan S, Karlawish JH, Schwartz JS, Fleisher LA. The relationship between short-term mortality and quality of care for hip fracture: a meta-analysis of clinical pathways for hip fracture. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2009;57:2046–2054.
- Guay J, Parker MJ, Griffiths R, Kopp S. Peripheral nerve blocks for hip fractures. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;5:CD001159.
- Unneby A, Svensson O, Gustafson Y, Olofsson B. Femoral nerve block in a representative sample of elderly people with hip fracture: a randomised controlled trial. *Injury.* 2017;48:1542–1549.
- Chaudet A, Bouhours G, Rineau E, et al. Impact of preoperative continuous femoral blockades on morphine consumption and morphine side effects in hip-fracture patients: a randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med.* 2016;35:37–43.
- Luger TJ, Kammerlander C, Benz M, Luger MF, Garosio I. Peridural anesthesia or ultrasound-guided continuous 3-in-1 block: which is indicated for analgesia in very elderly patients with hip fracture in the emergency department? *Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil.* 2012;3:121–128.
- Morrison RS, Dickman E, Hwang U, et al. Regional nerve blocks improve pain and functional outcomes in hip fracture: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2016;64:2433–2439.
- American Society of Anesthesiologists Committee. Practice guidelines for preoperative fasting and the use of pharmacologic agents to reduce the risk of pulmonary aspiration: application to healthy patients undergoing elective procedures: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists task force on preoperative fasting and the use of pharmacologic agents to reduce the risk of pulmonary aspiration. *Anesthesiology.* 2017;126:376–393.



9. Hellström PM, Samuelsson B, Al-Ani AN, Hedström M. Normal gastric emptying time of a carbohydrate-rich drink in elderly patients with acute hip fracture: a pilot study. *BMC Anesthesiol*. 2017;17:23.
10. Foss NB, Jensen PS, Kehlet H. Risk factors for insufficient perioperative oral nutrition after hip fracture surgery within a multimodal rehabilitation programme. *Age Ageing*. 2007;36:538–543.
11. Kang H, Ha YC, Kim JY, Woo YC, Lee JS, Jang EC. Effectiveness of multimodal pain management after bipolar hemiarthroplasty for hip fracture: a randomized, controlled study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2013;95:291–296.
12. Doleman B, Read D, Lund JN, Williams JP. Preventive acetaminophen reduces postoperative opioid consumption, vomiting, and pain scores after surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2015;40:706–712.
13. Jeffcoach DR, Sams VG, Lawson CM, et al; University of Tennessee Medical Center, Department of Surgery. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs' impact on nonunion and infection rates in long-bone fractures. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2014;76:779–783.
14. Hernandez RK, Do TP, Critchlow CW, Dent RE, Jick SS. Patient-related risk factors for fracture-healing complications in the United Kingdom General Practice Research Database. *Acta Orthop*. 2012;83:653–660.
15. Kay RM, Directo MP, Leathers M, Myung K, Skaggs DL. Complications of ketorolac use in children undergoing operative fracture care. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2010;30:655–658.
16. Kurmis AP, Kurmis TP, O'Brien JX, Dalén T. The effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug administration on acute phase fracture-healing: a review. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2012;94:815–823.
17. Pountos I, Georgouli T, Calori GM, Giannoudis PV. Do nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs affect bone healing? A critical analysis. *ScientificWorldJournal*. 2012;2012:606404.
18. Dodwell ER, Latorre JG, Parisini E, et al. NSAID exposure and risk of nonunion: a meta-analysis of case-control and cohort studies. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 2010;87:193–202.
19. Fabritius ML, Geisler A, Petersen PL, et al. Gabapentin for postoperative pain management: a systematic review with meta-analyses and trial sequential analyses. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2016;60:1188–1208.
20. Doleman B, Heinink TP, Read DJ, Faleiro RJ, Lund JN, Williams JP. A systematic review and meta-regression analysis of prophylactic gabapentin for postoperative pain. *Anaesthesia*. 2015;70:1186–1204.
21. Gan TJ, Diemunsch P, Habib AS, et al; Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia. Consensus guidelines for the management of postoperative nausea and vomiting. *Anesth Analg*. 2014;118:85–113.
22. Guay J, Parker MJ, Gajendragadkar PR, Kopp S. Anaesthesia for hip fracture surgery in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;2:CD000521.
23. Zuo D, Jin C, Shan M, Zhou L, Li Y. A comparison of general versus regional anesthesia for hip fracture surgery: a meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Exp Med*. 2015;8:20295–20301.
24. Luger TJ, Kammerlander C, Gosch M, et al. Neuroaxial versus general anaesthesia in geriatric patients for hip fracture surgery: does it matter? *Osteoporos Int*. 2010;21:S555–S572.
25. Van Waesberghe J, Stevanovic A, Rossaint R, Coburn M. General vs. neuraxial anaesthesia in hip fracture patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Anesthesiol*. 2017;17:87.
26. Tung YC, Hsu YH, Chang GM. The effect of anesthetic type on outcomes of hip fracture surgery: a nationwide population-based study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016;95:e3296.
27. McIsaac DI, Wijeyesundera DN, Huang A, Bryson GL, van Walraven C. Association of hospital-level neuraxial anesthesia use for hip fracture surgery with outcomes: a population-based cohort study. *Anesthesiology*. 2018;128:480–491.
28. Neuman MD, Silber JH, Elkassabany NM, Ludwig JM, Fleisher LA. Comparative effectiveness of regional versus general anesthesia for hip fracture surgery in adults. *Anesthesiology*. 2012;117:72–92.
29. Neuman MD, Rosenbaum PR, Ludwig JM, Zubizarreta JR, Silber JH. Anesthesia technique, mortality, and length of stay after hip fracture surgery. *JAMA*. 2014;311:2508–2517.
30. Horlocker TT, Wedel DJ, Rowlingson JC, et al. Regional anesthesia in the patient receiving antithrombotic or thrombolytic therapy: American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine evidence-based guidelines (Third Edition). *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2010;35:64–101.
31. Kwan AS, Lee BB, Brake T. Intrathecal morphine for postoperative analgesia in patients with fractured hips. *Hong Kong Med J*. 1997;3:250–255.
32. Pöpping DM, Elia N, Marret E, Wenk M, Tramèr MR. Opioids added to local anesthetics for single-shot intrathecal anesthesia in patients undergoing minor surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Pain*. 2012;153:784–793.
33. Meylan N, Elia N, Lysakowski C, Tramèr MR. Benefit and risk of intrathecal morphine without local anaesthetic in patients undergoing major surgery: meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Br J Anaesth*. 2009;102:156–167.
34. Gehling M, Tryba M. Risks and side-effects of intrathecal morphine combined with spinal anaesthesia: a meta-analysis. *Anaesth Cesia*. 2009;64:643–651.
35. Practice guidelines for the prevention, detection, and management of respiratory depression associated with neuraxial opioid administration: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists task force on neuraxial opioids and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. *Anesthesiology*. 2016;124:535–552.
36. Berríos-Torres SI, Umscheid CA, Bratzler DW, et al; Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guideline for the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection, 2017. *JAMA Surg*. 2017;152:784–791.
37. Futier E, Constantin JM, Paugam-Burtz C, et al; IMPROVE Study Group. A trial of intraoperative low-tidal-volume ventilation in abdominal surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369:428–437.
38. Yang D, Grant MC, Stone A, Wu CL, Wick EC. A meta-analysis of intraoperative ventilation strategies to prevent pulmonary complications: is low tidal volume alone sufficient to protect healthy lungs? *Ann Surg*. 2016;263:881–887.
39. Gu WJ, Wang F, Liu JC. Effect of lung-protective ventilation with lower tidal volumes on clinical outcomes among patients undergoing surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *CMAJ*. 2015;187:E101–E109.
40. Guay J, Ochroch EA. Intraoperative use of low volume ventilation to decrease postoperative mortality, mechanical ventilation, lengths of stay and lung injury in patients without acute lung injury. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015;12:CD011151.
41. Tengberg PT, Foss NB, Palm H, Kallemsø T, Troelsen A. Tranexamic acid reduces blood loss in patients with extracapsular fractures of the hip: results of a randomised controlled trial. *Bone Joint J*. 2016;98-B:747–753.
42. Mohib Y, Rashid RH, Ali M, Zubairi AJ, Umer M. Does tranexamic acid reduce blood transfusion following surgery for inter-trochanteric fracture? A randomized control trial. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2015;65:S17–S20.
43. Zufferey PJ, Miquet M, Quenet S, et al; Tranexamic Acid in Hip-Fracture Surgery (THIF) Study: tranexamic acid in hip fracture surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Br J Anaesth*. 2010;104:23–30.
44. Baruah RK, Borah PJ, Haque R. Use of tranexamic acid in dynamic hip screw plate fixation for trochanteric fractures. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)*. 2016;24:379–382.
45. Farrow LS, Smith TO, Ashcroft GP, Myint PK. A systematic review of tranexamic acid in hip fracture surgery. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2016;82:1458–1470.
46. Kranke P, Jokinen J, Pace NL, et al. Continuous intravenous perioperative lidocaine infusion for postoperative pain and recovery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015;7:CD009642.
47. Weibel S, Jokinen J, Pace NL, et al. Efficacy and safety of intravenous lidocaine for postoperative analgesia and recovery after surgery: a systematic review with trial sequential analysis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2016;116:770–783.
48. Khan JS, Yousuf M, Victor JC, Sharma A, Siddiqui N. An estimation for an appropriate end time for an intraoperative intravenous lidocaine infusion in bowel surgery: a comparative meta-analysis. *J Clin Anesth*. 2016;28:95–104.

49. Avidan MS, Maybrier HR, Abdallah AB, et al; PODCAST Research Group. Intraoperative ketamine for prevention of postoperative delirium or pain after major surgery in older adults: an international, multicentre, double-blind, randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2017;390:267–275.
50. Holte K, Sharrock NE, Kehlet H. Pathophysiology and clinical implications of perioperative fluid excess. *Br J Anaesth*. 2002;89:622–632.
51. Moppett IK, Rowlands M, Mannings A, Moran CG, Wiles MD; NOTTS Investigators. LiDCO-based fluid management in patients undergoing hip fracture surgery under spinal anaesthesia: a randomized trial and systematic review. *Br J Anaesth*. 2015;114:444–459.
52. Bartha E, Arfwedson C, Imnell A, Kalman S. Towards individualized perioperative, goal-directed haemodynamic algorithms for patients of advanced age: observations during a randomized controlled trial (NCT01141894). *Br J Anaesth*. 2016;116:486–492.
53. Lewis SR, Butler AR, Brammar A, Nicholson A, Smith AF. Perioperative fluid volume optimization following proximal femoral fracture. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;3:CD003004.
54. Bollinger AJ, Butler PD, Nies MS, Sietsema DL, Jones CB, Endres TJ. Is scheduled intravenous acetaminophen effective in the pain management protocol of geriatric hip fractures? *Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil*. 2015;6:202–208.
55. Chin RP, Ho CH, Cheung LP. Scheduled analgesic regimen improves rehabilitation after hip fracture surgery. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2013;471:2349–2360.
56. McNicol ED, Ferguson MC, Haroutounian S, Carr DB, Schumann R. Single dose intravenous paracetamol or intravenous propacetamol for postoperative pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;5:CD007126.
57. Toms L, McQuay HJ, Derry S, Moore RA. Single dose oral paracetamol (acetaminophen) for postoperative pain in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2008;4:CD004602.
58. Remy C, Marret E, Bonnet F. Effects of acetaminophen on morphine side-effects and consumption after major surgery: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Br J Anaesth*. 2005;94:505–513.
59. Ong CK, Seymour RA, Lirk P, Merry AF. Combining paracetamol (acetaminophen) with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: a qualitative systematic review of analgesic efficacy for acute postoperative pain. *Anesth Analg*. 2010;110:1170–1179.
60. De Oliveira GS Jr, Castro-Alves LJ, McCarthy RJ. Single-dose systemic acetaminophen to prevent postoperative pain: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clin J Pain*. 2015;31:86–93.
61. De Oliveira GS Jr, Agarwal D, Benzon HT. Perioperative single dose ketorolac to prevent postoperative pain: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Anesth Analg*. 2012;114:424–433.
62. Khan JS, Margarido C, Devereaux PJ, Clarke H, McLellan A, Choi S. Preoperative celecoxib in noncardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2016;33:204–214.
63. Derry S, Moore RA. Single dose oral celecoxib for acute postoperative pain in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013:CD004233.
64. King MR, Ladha KS, Gelineau AM, Anderson TA. Perioperative dextromethorphan as an adjunct for postoperative pain: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Anesthesiology*. 2016;124:696–705.
65. Duedahl TH, Rømsing J, Møiniche S, Dahl JB. A qualitative systematic review of peri-operative dextromethorphan in postoperative pain. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2006;50:1–13.
66. Bech RD, Lauritsen J, Ovesen O, Emmeluth C, Lindholm P, Overgaard S. Local anaesthetic wound infiltration after internal fixation of femoral neck fractures: a randomized, double-blind clinical trial in 33 patients. *Hip Int*. 2011;21:251–259.
67. Liu SS, Richman JM, Thirlby RC, Wu CL. Efficacy of continuous wound catheters delivering local anesthetic for postoperative analgesia: a quantitative and qualitative systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2006;203:914–932.
68. Gupta A, Favaio S, Perniola A, Magnuson A, Berggren L. A meta-analysis of the efficacy of wound catheters for postoperative pain management. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2011;55:785–796.
69. Raines S, Hedlund C, Franzon M, Lillieborg S, Kelleher G, Ahlén K. Ropivacaine for continuous wound infusion for postoperative pain management: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur Surg Res*. 2014;53:43–60.
70. McQuay H, Edwards J. Meta-analysis of single dose oral tramadol plus acetaminophen in acute postoperative pain. *Eur J Anaesthesiol Suppl*. 2003;28:19–22.
71. Moore RA, McQuay HJ. Single-patient data meta-analysis of 3453 postoperative patients: oral tramadol versus placebo, codeine and combination analgesics. *Pain*. 1997;69:287–294.
72. Edwards JE, McQuay HJ, Moore RA. Combination analgesic efficacy: individual patient data meta-analysis of single-dose oral tramadol plus acetaminophen in acute postoperative pain. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2002;23:121–130.
73. Rashid S, Vandermeer B, Abou-Setta AM, Beaupre LA, Jones CA, Dryden DM. Efficacy of supplemental peripheral nerve blockade for hip fracture surgery: multiple treatment comparison. *Can J Anaesth*. 2013;60:230–243.
74. Abou-Setta AM, Beaupre LA, Rashid S, et al. Comparative effectiveness of pain management interventions for hip fracture: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med*. 2011;155:234–245.
75. Parker MJ, Griffiths R, Appadu BN. Nerve blocks (subcostal, lateral cutaneous, femoral, triple, psoas) for hip fractures. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2002;1:CD001159.
76. Nie H, Yang YX, Wang Y, Liu Y, Zhao B, Luan B. Effects of continuous fascia iliaca compartment blocks for postoperative analgesia in patients with hip fracture. *Pain Res Manag*. 2015;20:210–212.
77. Temelkowska-Stevanovska M, Durnev V, Jovanovski-Srceva M, Mojsova-Mijovska M, Trpeski S. Continuous femoral nerve block versus fascia iliaca compartment block as postoperative analgesia in patients with hip fracture. *Pril (Makedon Akad Nauk Umjet Odd Med Nauki)*. 2014;35:85–93.
78. Newman B, McCarthy L, Thomas PW, May P, Layzell M, Horn K. A comparison of pre-operative nerve stimulator-guided femoral nerve block and fascia iliaca compartment block in patients with a femoral neck fracture. *Anaesthesia*. 2013;68:899–903.
79. Amiri HR, Safari S, Makarem J, Rahimi M, Jahanshahi B. Comparison of combined femoral nerve block and spinal anesthesia with lumbar plexus block for postoperative analgesia in intertrochanteric fracture surgery. *Anesth Pain Med*. 2012;2:32–35.
80. Mouzopoulos G, Vasiliadis G, Lasanianos N, Nikolaras G, Morakis E, Kaminaris M. Fascia iliaca block prophylaxis for hip fracture patients at risk for delirium: a randomized placebo-controlled study. *J Orthop Traumatol*. 2009;10:127–133.
81. Chudinov A, Berkenstadt H, Salai M, Cahana A, Perel A. Continuous psoas compartment block for anesthesia and perioperative analgesia in patients with hip fractures. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 1999;24:563–568.
82. Hølsø I, Jantzen C, Lauritzen JB, Jørgensen HL. Opioid usage during admission in hip fracture patients: the effect of the continuous femoral nerve block. *Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil*. 2016;7:197–201.
83. Di Filippo A, Magherini M, Ruggiano P, Ciardullo A, Falsini S. Postoperative analgesia in patients older than 75 years undergoing intervention for per-trochanteric hip fracture: a single centre retrospective cohort study. *Aging Clin Exp Res*. 2015;27:281–285.
84. Soffin EM, YaDeau JT. Enhanced recovery after surgery for primary hip and knee arthroplasty: a review of the evidence. *Br J Anaesth*. 2016;117:iii62–iii72.
85. Stowers MD, Manupangai L, Hill AG, Gray JR, Coleman B, Munro JT. Enhanced recovery after surgery in elective hip and knee arthroplasty reduces length of hospital stay. *ANZ J Surg*. 2016;86:475–479.
86. Jones EL, Wainwright TW, Foster JD, Smith JR, Middleton RG, Francis NK. A systematic review of patient reported outcomes and patient experience in enhanced recovery after orthopaedic surgery. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 2014;96:89–94.
87. Maempel JF, Clement ND, Ballantyne JA, Dunstan E. Enhanced recovery programmes after total hip arthroplasty can result in reduced length of hospital stay without compromising functional outcome. *Bone Joint J*. 2016;98-B:475–482.

88. Stambough JB, Nunley RM, Curry MC, Steger-May K, Clohisy JC. Rapid recovery protocols for primary total hip arthroplasty can safely reduce length of stay without increasing readmissions. *J Arthroplasty*. 2015;30:521–526.
89. Zhu S, Qian W, Jiang C, Ye C, Chen X. Enhanced recovery after surgery for hip and knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Postgrad Med J*. 2017;93:736–742.
90. Macfie D, Zadeh RA, Andrews M, Crowson J, Macfie J. Perioperative multimodal optimisation in patients undergoing surgery for fractured neck of femur. *Surgeon*. 2012;10:90–94.
91. Pedersen SJ, Borgbjerg FM, Schousboe B, et al; Hip Fracture Group of Bispebjerg Hospital. A comprehensive hip fracture program reduces complication rates and mortality. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2008;56:1831–1838.
92. Liu VX, Rosas E, Hwang J, et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery program implementation in 2 surgical populations in an integrated health care delivery system. *JAMA Surg*. 2017;152:e171032.
93. Scott MJ, McEvoy MD, Gordon DB, et al. American Society for Enhanced Recovery (ASER) and Perioperative Quality Initiative (POQI) joint consensus statement on optimal analgesia within an enhanced recovery pathway for colorectal surgery: part 2—from PACU to the transition home. *Perioper Med (Lond)*. 2017;6:7.
94. Feldheiser A, Aziz O, Baldini G, et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) for gastrointestinal surgery, part 2: consensus statement for anaesthesia practice. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2016;60:289–334.
95. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Hip Fracture in the Elderly; Guidelines From the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Available at: <http://www.orthoguidelines.org/topic?id=1017>. Accessed January 19, 2018.